

## liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by Jpaulbiss - 14 Jan 2020 08:37

---

The coming revolution in holographic video technology has been given a boost with the unique approach of Spanish start-up Wooptix. It has presented the first single lens light field camera capable of capturing light field video in real time and it does so using a liquid lens.

[www.redsharknews.com/production/item/6913-this-is-revolutionary-the-world-s-first-liquid-lens-lightfield-camera](http://www.redsharknews.com/production/item/6913-this-is-revolutionary-the-world-s-first-liquid-lens-lightfield-camera)

=====

## Re: liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by hugly - 14 Jan 2020 09:18

---

I posted this already in your other post, but because I think that moderators will delete the double post, here again:

Thanks for the heads up. Good to know that the story of light-field-cameras continues.

I was thinking that this technology will stay in the laboratories and won't find any market after Lytro left the scene in 2018.

[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lytro](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lytro)

=====

## Re: liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by jwrl - 14 Jan 2020 16:27

---

The duplicate thread was deleted, but probably not by a moderator. We usually merge duplicate threads.

=====

## Re: liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by Jpaulbiss - 14 Jan 2020 18:42

---

---

did know there was a duplicate thread. 🙄

=====

### Re: liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by jwrl - 14 Jan 2020 18:50

---

Interesting article, but apparently not a lightfield camera. It uses a technology which the manufacturers call SEBI (**S**ensing the **E**lectromagnetic **B**ehavior by **I**ntensity). The term "Lightfield" in this context appears to be the name given to the development project that came up with SEBI.

=====

### Re: liquid lens lightfield camera

Posted by briandrys - 14 Jan 2020 19:02

---

**jwrl wrote:**

The duplicate thread was deleted, but probably not by a moderator. We usually merge duplicate threads.

I deleted the other thread, since it just had a link to the Redshark article. Hugly's reply had already been deleted by that stage.

=====