

WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by RWAV - 15 Apr 2016 21:17

Really?

Where does that leave all the manufactures of trivia like cameras who use .mov wrappers.

What is the detail of the 'Quicktime' referenced by Trend Micro?

EDIT: OK think I see the error of my ways. Slow day - they are saying the Apple Quicktime Player not the .mov wrapper is at risk

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by RWAV - 18 Apr 2016 07:07

Anyone remember year 2K?

It seems all LW users have been exposed to QT security vulnerabilities for many years. Hands up those who have been bitten; if there's a rush I'd have to ask why have you not come forward before these dire warnings.

Notwithstanding the report publicised by, yes you guessed it, a commercial computer security software crowd; have no intention of uninstalling QT for Windows here. Not sure if it's technically possible but perhaps some other computer security software organisation might be able to detect any possible attacks via this not so new route?

Hardly ever start-up the QT Pro software - often use the QT SDK integration in 32 bit LW. More often than that import camera files in .mov wrappers - not hearing any urgency from camera makers to switch wrappers. Not sure if anyone can actually quantify the risk associated with the current matter across the many variants of using QT files/SDK/Player/Pro/other-players. Is the vulnerability via the QT application only/partly/not at all?

Seems to me Apple is being shown to be a bunch of self-interested folk - with no regard for Windows

users who have been loyal customers for years - cone to think of it that's also a possible exact description of Microsoft relative to that organisation's push with the Win10 behemoth. On that basis perhaps all Windows users should uninstall Windows, take a break on a beach somewhere, or better still go to the cinema?

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by frans - 19 Apr 2016 09:59

Haven't used or missed QT since the nineties.

You can use ffmpeg to remux .mov containers to .mp4

```
ffmpeg -i movie.mov -vcodec copy -acodec copy out.mp4
```

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by jwrl - 19 Apr 2016 17:50

Helpful, but not if you have ProRes or DNxHD in a QT wrapper I would have thought. Roll on MXF, I say!

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 18:03

still "cineform" would/could be an *excellent choice* (see> *the lobster* film , -)

just hit CF "transcode" on import, just hit render CF ...

s

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 18:04

afaiK same sys as lwks - win / mac / lx

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by jwrl - 19 Apr 2016 18:19

Cineform is a codec, MXF is a wrapper like QT.

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 18:28

I don't need any wrapper. let's say I can work *hypothetically* in ".CNF" - lets say it's the cineform extension, which is of course a wrapper (proxy, standard, film, film+ etc.) - still I'd no troubles with 3rd party unsupported wrappers ...

s

let's see what next lwks will bring ...

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by donkpow - 19 Apr 2016 18:51

Let's say unicorns are real and you don't have to work for a paycheck. 🐾

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 19:00

I don't post every feat. req. on the forum - of course. unicorns - they are real ...

cineform

www.dma.ufg.ac.at/assets/24991/cineform_s.png

Geraldine Brezca is real too - still some ppl think she does a bad job , -)

www.dma.ufg.ac.at/assets/24991/intern/0102_How_to_Slate_Film_the_RIGHT_way.mp4

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by donkpow - 19 Apr 2016 19:12

Funny clip. 🍌

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 19:39

indeed , -)

"it is rumored that tarantino only makes such good films, because he has the perfect "clapper operator" woman on set"

, -)

s

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by lghtwrks - 19 Apr 2016 19:51

,)

added a screen shot - "how to read clapper boards" - NP4-1

-> www.lwks.com/media/kunena/attachments/32848/NP4-1_S.png

www.dma.ufg.ac.at/assets/24991/intern/0102_How_to_Slate_Film_the_RIGHT_way.mp4

s

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by timzett - 22 Apr 2016 07:35

Ightwrks wrote:

@timzett: eg. lwks 64-bit does not use QT, so there should be no change. That was the right link back:

Almost 4 years ago I was still using Lightworks 32 bit, and by then not only the export issue was there but also a playback issue with MOV Containers (also e.g. with MPEG2 I-Frame), that the video in the timeline would just show a "green screen";

So now I give it a go without QuickTime now ☺

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by briandrys - 23 Apr 2016 11:59

This has been suggested as a possible way around this:

www.reddit.com/r/AfterEffects/comments/4eyy81/possible_workaround_for_quicktime_security_issue/

=====

Re: WINDOWS QUICKTIME USERS MUST READ THIS!

Posted by jacquestoo - 23 Apr 2016 15:37

EDIT:

Sorry, please ignore the hint below. I have read up on it since i posted it. It might be in fact more vulnerable than the original Quicktime. According to WIKIPEDIA it's just a knock-off of Quicktime. In any event it hasn't been updated since 2010. I wasn't aware of these circumstances when I posted. Please forgive me. I hope my bad hint isn't causing anyone grief.

Hey get over it! I've been using **Quicktime Alternative** for years. It works perfectly well with Edius (all versions from 4 through 12), as well as DaVinci Resolve versions 12 and 12.5. I suggest you try it with Lwks. I don't see why it shouldn't work. ☺

=====